

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/618,301 07/11/2003 59559 (70551) Masaki Hamamoto 4334 21874 EXAMINER **EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP** HOLZEN, STEPHEN A P.O. BOX 55874 ART UNIT BOSTON, MA 02205 PAPER NUMBER 3644

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/6	10/618,301 HAMAMOTO ET AL.		T AL.	
		Exam	niner	Art Unit		
			nen A. Holzen	3644	100/	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communior Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence	address	
I HE - Extending - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply a will. by statute cause th	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MO e application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered tir NTHS from the mailing date of this	nely. s communication.	
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on				
2a)		2b)□ This action	is non-final.			
3)						
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10)	)│☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction is re by the Examiner	quired if the drawing  . Note the attache	ı(s) is objected to. See 37 ( d Office Action or form F	CFR 1.121(d). PTO-152.	
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of  2. Certified copies of the priority of  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	documents have lidocuments have it follows the priority documents Bureau (PCT)	been received. been received in A uments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this Nationa	al Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)					
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)		
3) 🔲 Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	FO-948) PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (P	ГО-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,301

Art Unit: 3644

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-30, drawn to a rising and moving apparatus, classified in class
     244, subclass 72.
  - II. Claim 31-35, drawn to a method of manufacturing a rising and moving apparatus, classified in class 244, subclass 72.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product claimed can be made by another and materially different process.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Upon election of the above identified distinct groups the applicant is required to identify and elect a single species for examination. This application contains claims

Application/Control Number: 10/618,301

Art Unit: 3644

directed to the following patentably distinct embodiments of the claimed invention as identified by the applicant in the specification found on pages 17-22.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3644

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A. Holzen whose telephone number is 703-308-2484. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone can be reached on 703 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sah

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER